

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

MAK

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

|  | 1.00                            |                      |                     |                  |   |
|--|---------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|---|
| APPLICATION NO.                          | FILING DATE                     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |   |
| 10/764,590 01/27/2004                    |                                 | Wataru Domon         | U2054.0149          | 1693             | • |
| 32172<br>DICKSTEIN S                     | 7590 02/22/2008<br>HAPIRO I I P | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |   |
| 1177 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS (6TH AVENUE) |                                 |                      | PEACHES, RANDY      |                  |   |
| NEW YORK, NY 10036-2714                  |                                 |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     | • |
|  |                                 |                      | 2617                |                  |   |
|  |                                 |                      |                     |                  |   |
|  |                                 |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |   |
|  |                                 |                      | 02/22/2008          | PAPER            |   |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## **Advisory Action**

| Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|-----------------|--------------|
| 10/764,590      | DOMON ET AL. |
| Examiner        | Art Unit     |
| Randy Peaches   | 2617         |

| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief   |                                      | A 111 11               |                  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Before the I ling of an Appear Brief   | Examiner                             | Art Unit               |                  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Randy Peaches                        | 2617                   |                  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ears on the cover sheet with the d   | correspondence add     | ress             |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 07 January 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.  |                                      |                        |                  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> </ol>  |                                      |                        |                  |  |  |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN  |                                      |                        |                  |  |  |  |  |
| TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7  |                                      | E FIRST REPLY WAS F    | TLED WITHIN      |  |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |                                      |                        |                  |  |  |  |  |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since   |                                      |                        |                  |  |  |  |  |
| a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  AMENDMENTS  |                                      |                        |                  |  |  |  |  |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);   |                                      |                        |                  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beappeal; and/or</li> </ul>  |                                      | educing or simplifying | the issues for   |  |  |  |  |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a   |                                      | jected claims.         |                  |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))  |                                      | amaliant Amandment     | (PTOL 324)       |  |  |  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).  5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):   |                                      |                        |                  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).   |                                      |                        |                  |  |  |  |  |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  |                                      |                        |                  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:   |                                      |                        |                  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |                                      |                        |                  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</li> <li>8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ul>  | nd sufficient reasons why the affida | vit or other evidence  | is necessary and |  |  |  |  |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).   |                                      |                        |                  |  |  |  |  |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  |                                      |                        |                  |  |  |  |  |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>  |                                      |                        |                  |  |  |  |  |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)   |                                      |                        |                  |  |  |  |  |
|  |                                      |                        |                  |  |  |  |  |
|  |                                      |                        |                  |  |  |  |  |
|  |                                      |                        |                  |  |  |  |  |



Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Examiner has fully considered to Applicant's arguments; however, the Examiner maintains his position in regards to the previous rejection.

The Applicant states that the cited prior art of record fails to render sufficient support wherein " a first step of making decision of said channel at a certain timing in said one radio station, said timing being based on a predetermined constant period or a variable period,

and said timing being independent of a detection of interference; ....

The Examiner cites Cervello, p. 6 lines 25-27, as support for this claim limitation. Accordingly, the cited portion is made mention in order to show that the measurement of the channel conditions are performed during a time totally independent of the channel decision period. The Examiner would like to further state that on p. 20 lines 5-12 teaches that upon receiving and channel quality report, which specifically implies a time prior to the current time, a determination is made as to when to change channels.

Therefore based on the Examiner's prior rejection and the present comments, claims 1, 3-11, 14-24, 27, 29-38 and 56 stand

rejected

JEAN GELIN
PRIMARY EXAMINER